



**Parenting with Love and Limits®**  
[www.gopll.com](http://www.gopll.com)

# **PLL COACHING ADVANCED PROCESS IPR**

© 2005-2011 Savannah Family Institute, Inc. All rights reserved. Patent Pending (US 61/429,536)  
With the exceptions noted below, no part of these handouts may be reproduced or transmitted in any form without written permission from PNCV Dissemination, LLC.

#### **LIMITED PHOTOCOPY LICENSE**

Savannah Family Institute grants to PLL's certified mental health professionals non-assignable permission to reproduce pages of these handouts for personal use in clinical practice and not for resale or redistribution. These materials are intended for use only by qualified mental health professionals. This license is limited to the individual participant and does not extend to others. The license does not grant the right to reproduce these materials for other purposes (including but not limited to books, pamphlets, articles, video or audio tapes, handouts or slides for lectures or workshops.) Permission to reproduce these materials for these and any other purposes must be obtained in writing from Savannah Family Institute, Inc.

## IPR Video Supervision

### PLL Coaching– ADVANCED PROCESS IPR MEASURE

<b>Therapist's Name:</b>					
<b>Date of IPR Review:</b>					
<b>Number of Video IPR Measure Checks for this PLL Coaching Phase:</b>					
KEY COMPONENTS					
Legend:	Extremely Poor: Gross neglect/deficit in domain	Poor: Deficit in domain	Average: Domain met with basic competency	Well: Domain met with above average competency	Extremely Well: Domain met with exceptional competency
1. How well did the therapist adhere to the PLL Model?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
2. How well did the therapist demonstrate a smoothness or flow of delivery of the material with natural segues from section to section?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
3. How well did the therapist demonstrate joining and accommodation in the session (i.e. evidenced by use of techniques such as maintenance, tracking and mimesis)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
4. How well did the therapist integrate usage of self into the session (i.e., personal examples, stories, humor, voice inflection, etc.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
5. How well did the therapist elicit responses from the family?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
6. How well did the therapist respond to the family's feedback (verbal and/or non-verbal cues)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
7. How well did the therapist utilize the recommended materials (i.e. video clips, power point, flip chart, Survival Kit)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
8. How well did the therapist use metaphors in order to move the family along the change continuum around key undercurrents that are keeping the family system stuck?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
9. How well did the therapist maintain a position of leadership within the therapeutic unit in order to avoid being sucked into the unhealthy family system?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
10. How well did the therapist demonstrate restructuring techniques in the session (i.e. evidenced by use of techniques such as actualizing family transactional patterns, marking boundaries, escalating stress, assigning tasks, utilizing symptoms, manipulating mood, and providing support, education or guidance)	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5

**Rating: 50 points**

**PLL Supervisor's Review of Entire Video:**

Key Fidelity Issues –

Strengths:

Areas for Improvement:

**IPR Clip Review: (Self, Peer, Supervisor Review)**

Clip Link – [www.vimeo.com/](http://www.vimeo.com/)

Password –

<i>Scene (Clip)</i>	<i>Strengths</i>	<i>Areas for Improvement</i>