

Parenting with Love and Limits®

www.gopll.com

PLL GROUP CONTENT IPR'S

© 2005-2011 Savannah Family Institute, Inc. All rights reserved. Patent Pending (US 61/429,536) With the exceptions noted below, no part of these handouts may be reproduced or transmitted in any form without written permission from PNCV Dissemination, LLC.

LIMITED PHOTOCOPY LICENSE

Savannah Family Institute grants to PLL's certified mental health professionals non-assignable permission to reproduce pages of these handouts for personal use in clinical practice and not for resale or redistribution. These materials are intended for use only by qualified mental health professionals. This license is limited to the individual participant and does not extend to others. The license does not grant the right to reproduce these materials for other purposes (including but not limited to books, pamphlets, articles, video or audio tapes, handouts or slides for lectures or workshops.) Permission to reproduce these materials for these and any other purposes must be obtained in writing from Savannah Family Institute, Inc.

IPR Video Supervision

PLL Group#1 – CONTENT IPR MEASURE

	Co-Facilitator's Name					
LL Group Session:	:					
KEY COMPONENTS						
Poor: Deficit in domain	Average: Domain met with basic competency	Doma abov	e average		Extremely Well: Domain met with exceptional competency	
Group #1: ŀ	Hour One					
Did the group leader play the "Top Answer" Game as directed in the Group Manual?			1 Ye	S		
e usage of self to c.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5	
2. Did the group leader provide an overview of the Six Groups?			1 Ye	s		
3. Did the group leader elicit responses from the group regarding their theories on "why teens misbehave"?				S		
PROCESS: How well did the group leader elicit responses from the group (i.e. use of names, sticking and moving, providing safe atmosphere, etc.)?		Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5	
4. Did the group leader teach all 6 reasons why teens misbehave?			1 Ye	s		
5. Did the group leader emphasize the importance of reading the PYOCT book in a playful but emphatic manner-using the script dialogue?			1 Ye	S		
PROCESS: How well did the group leader integrate usage of self into the presentation (i.e., personal examples, stories, humor, voice inflection, etc.)?		Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5	
ps, use power books as directed		0 No	1 Ye	s		
in the Group Manual for Hour One? PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate Choreographic integration with props, group materials and technology?			Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5	
	KEY COMP Poor: Deficit in domain Group #1: If me as directed in e usage of self to 2.)? Six Groups? group regarding sponses from the diding safe eens misbehave? e of reading the eusing the script e usage of self into umor, voice ps, use power abooks as directed trate	Poor: Deficit in domain Domain met with basic competency Group #1: Hour One me as directed in Extremely Poor 1 Six Groups? Group regarding Extremely Poor 1 Extremely Poor 1	REY COMPONENTS Poor: Deficit in domain	REY COMPONENTS Poor: Deficit in domain	REY COMPONENTS Poor: Domain met with basic competency Group #1: Hour One me as directed in a usage of self to 1 1 No Yes Groups: Poor 1 No Yes Group #2: Hour One Extremely Poor 2 Average Well 4 Group #3: Hour One Extremely Poor 2 Average Well 1 No Yes Groups: Poor 3 Average Well 1 No Yes Groups: Poor 4 Average Well 1 No Yes Groups: Poor 5 Average Well 1 No Yes Group regarding 0 1 No Yes Groups: Poor 6 Average Well 1 No Yes Group regarding 1 No Yes Groups: Poor 8 Average Well 1 No Yes Group regarding 1 No Yes Group	

Group #1: Hour Two	"Parent Breakout"			
7. Did the group leader ask the key Venting Question – "What was one of your worst nightmares you've had to go through with your teenager?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader elicit and respond to the group's feedback (verbal and/or non-verbal cues, and provide emotional and factual linkages to build group cohesiveness, etc.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
8. Did the group leader ask the two key Solution-Talk Questions – "With everything you have gone through, what keeps you from throwing in the towel?" and "When I get to know you better, what qualities and strengths will I come to admire about you?"		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader elicit and respond to the group's feedback (verbal and/or non-verbal cues, and provide emotional and factual linkages to build group cohesiveness, etc.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
9. Did the group leader give compliments to each parent in the group?		0 No	1 Yes	
10. Did the group leader use the rubber band to help each parent express their stress levels with their teenager and share the animal analogy to move parents into contemplation regarding their commitment to the program?		0 No	1 Yes	
11. Did the group leader give the homework reading assignment from the PYOCT paperback book?		0 No	1 Yes	
12. Did the group leader cover the Glass Half-Full/Half-Empty section and write parents' self-ratings on the flip chart?		0 No	1 Yes	
13. Did the group leader elicit feedback from the parents regarding "what was most helpful" in the group session?		0 No	1 Yes	
14. Did the group leader utilize the Parent Workbooks and flip chart as directed in the Group Manual for Hour Two?		0 No	1 Yes	
Group #1: Hour Two	"Teen Breakout"			
15. Did the group leader ask the teens the key Venting question – "What do your parents or teachers do or say that gets on your nerves"?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader elicit and respond to the group's feedback (verbal and/or non-verbal cues, and provide linkages to build group cohesiveness, etc.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
16. Did the group leader role play with at least one teen to demonstrate understanding of "how rough" the teen has it?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate a smoothness or flow of delivery of the group material with a natural segue into the role play?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5

17. Did the group leader review what other teens have said their parents do to get on their nerves and how other teens have said they would like the group leader to "go to bat" for them with their parents (questions #1 and #2)?	0 1 No Yes
18. Did the group leader review the "golden questions" (questions #3 and #4) with the teens and write their responses on the flip chart?	0 1 No Yes
PROCESS: How well did the group leader elicit and respond to the group's feedback (verbal and/or non-verbal cues, and provide linkages to build group cohesiveness, etc.)?	Extremely Poor Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5
Did the group leader use the rubber band to help each teen express their stress levels with their parents?	0 1 No Yes
Did the group leader use the analogy with the rubber band to illustrate how decreasing stress results in closeness between the teen and their parent?	0 1 No Yes
21. Did the group leader give compliments to each teenager in the group?	0 1 No Yes
22. Did the group leader use the Teen Workbooks?	0 1 No Yes

Rating:

Content = 22 total domains = 22 points (Content weighted as 70% of overall rating)
Process = 9 domains = 45 points (Process weighted as 30% of overall rating)

PLL Supervisor's Review of Entire Video:

Key Fidelity Issues –

Strengths:

Areas for Improvement:

IPR Clip Review: (Self, Peer, Supervisor Review)

Clip Link – www.vimeo.com/

Scene (Clip)	Strengths	Areas for Improvement

IPR Video Supervision PLL Group#2 – CONTENT IPR MEASURE

Therapist's Name:							
Co-Facilitator's Nam	•						
	e						
Date of IPR Review:							
Number of Video IPR	Measure Checks for t	his PLL Group Session	1:				
		KEY COMI	PONENTS				
Legend for Process Domains:	Extremely Poor: Gross neglect/deficit in domain	Poor: Deficit in domain	Average: Domain met with basic competency	abo	Well: ain met with ve average mpetency		Extremely Well: Domain met with exceptional competency
		Group #2:	Hour One				
assignment, get fo	der ask which parents d eedback from them, and e of reward/incentive?	_		0 No	1 Yes		
Did the group leader utilize the rubber band to introduce "Button Pushing"?				0 No	1 Yes		
Did the group leader emphasize how button pushing occurs - using the "Toys-R-Us" story in the Group Manual script?				0 No	1 Yes		
Did the group leader read through the Top 10 Parent Hot Buttons aloud to the group?				0 No	1 Yes		
5. Did the group leader read through the Top 8 Teen Hot Buttons and briefly define each one?				0 No	1 Yes		
6. Did the group leader help each parent/teen dyad to identify the Parent's Top 3 Hot Buttons and the Teen's Top 3 Hot Buttons?				0 No	1 Yes		
PROCESS: How well did the group leader integrate usage of self and props to facilitate smoothness and clarity during the exercise (i.e. humor, playfulness, use of flipchart for competition, different color post-it notes for Parent versus Teen Hot Buttons, etc)?			Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
7. Did the group leader use an inner circle parent and teen to demonstrate in a role play what button pushing looks like in action?				0 No	1 Yes		
Role Play? (clear instr parent/child's specific of purpose of "Age Dro argument to role play)	ructions of everyone's ro buttons, group vote of w opping" flash cards, quid	who will win, explanation ok explanation of recent	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
Pushing Role Play (ro	did the group leader fad le play kept short, group rent button, use of "Age	leader making first	Extremely Poor	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5

Did the group leader teach why no one really won the "Button Pushing" game by demonstrating the dance moves that were made during the role play?	0 1 No Yes
9. Did the group leader emphasize the two critical points – "Arguments are not won based on who is biggerArguments are won based on who controls the mood better"?	0 1 No Yes
10. Did the group leader show each of the video clips, use power point slides, the flip chart, and parent/teen workbooks as directed in the Group Manual for Hour One?	0 1 No Yes
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate choreographic integration with props, group materials and technology?	Extremely Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5
Group #2: Hour Two	"Parent Breakout"
11. Did the group leader teach all 5 Button Busters?	0 1 No Yes
Did the group leader assist each parent in identifying an Unpredictable Assignment that they would commit to doing with their teenager before the next group session?	0 1 No Yes
PROCESS: How well did the group leader inspire each parent to do an Unpredictable Assignment (use of props, use of scaling, pairing up parents, use of humor, etc.)	Extremely Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5
Did the group leader give the homework reading assignment from the PYOCT paperback book?	0 1 No Yes
14. Did the group leader elicit feedback from the parents regarding "what was most helpful" in the group session?	0 1 No Yes
15. Did the group leader show each of the video clips, use power point slides, the flip chart, and parent workbooks as directed in the Group Manual for Hour Two?	0 1 No Yes
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate choreographic integration with props, group materials and technology?	Extremely Poor Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5
Group #2: Hour Two	"Teen Breakout"
16. Did the group leader explain a few reasons why parents may push their teenager's buttons by looking at the "underlying motives on p.9 in the Teen Workbook?	0 1 No Yes
17. Did the group leader explain the Button Buster Filters?	0 1 No Yes
Did the group leader role play with a teen to demonstrate one or two Button Filters?	0 1 No Yes

Helping Organizations Restore Families®

PROCESS: How well did the group leader set up the role play and integrate usage of self (i.e. humor, drama, etc.)	Extremely Poor	Poor	Average	Well	Extremely Well
	1	2	3	4	5
19. Did the group leader assist each teen in identifying an Unpredictable Assignment that they would commit to doing with their parent before the next group session?		0 No	1 Ye	es	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader inspire each teen to do an Unpredictable Assignment (use of props, use of scaling, use of humor, etc.)	Extremely Poor	Poor	Average	Well	Extremely Well
	1	2	3	4	5
20. Did the group leader use the Teen Workbooks?		0 No	1 Y	es	

Rating:

Content = 20 total domains = 20 points (Content weighted as 70% of overall rating)
Process = 8 domains = 40 points (Process weighted as 30% of overall rating)

PLL Supervisor's Review of Entire Video:

Key Fidelity Issues – Strengths: Areas for Improvement:

IPR Clip Review: (Self, Peer, Supervisor Review)

Clip Link - www.vimeo.com/

Scene (Clip)	Strengths	Areas for Improvement

IPR Video Supervision

PLL Group#3 – CONTENT IPR MEASURE

Therap	Therapist's Name:							
Co-Fac	Co-Facilitator's Name							
Date of	IPR Review:							
Numbe	Number of Video IPR Measure Checks for this PLL Group Session:							
			KEY COMF	PONENTS				
	d for Process omains:	Extremely Poor: Gross neglect/deficit in domain	Poor: Deficit in domain	Average: Domain met with basic competency	abo	Well: ain met with ve average mpetency		Extremely Well: Domain met with exceptional competency
			Group #3:	Hour One	•			
Did the group leader ask which parents did their reading assignment and which parent/teen did their Unpredictable Assignment and get feedback from them, and give out stickers or provide some type of reward/incentive?				0 No	1 Yes			
Did the group leader poll parents to see who has a detailed written contract?				0 No	1 Yes			
3. Did the group leader teach the 5 Reasons parents are defeated by their teenager when they do not have an "Ironclad Contract"? Output Description:				0 No	1 Yes			
PROCESS: How well did the group leader use Regina's contract to move parents into contemplation around why their previous efforts at contracting or setting rules have not worked (playfully engaging both parents and teens in finding Regina's loopholes, use of power point to demonstrate Regina's loophole free contract)?			Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5	
4. Did the group leader show each of the video clips, use power point slides, the flip chart, and parent/teen workbooks as directed in the Group Manual for Hour One?				0 No	1 Yes			
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate choreographic integration with props, group materials and technology?			Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5	
Group #3: Hour Two "Parent Breakout"								
5. Did the group leader explain to the parents why it is important to get input from their teenagers on their contracts?				0 No	1 Yes			
the		der help each parent ide enager to change and ra t"?			0 No	1 Yes		
PROCESS: How well did the group leader convert the parents' top 3 problems into concrete categories in real time?			Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5	

7. Did the group leader demonstrate how to convert a problem/complaint into an ironclad rule by referring to page 27 in the Parent Workbook?	0 1 No Yes
8. Did the group leader use an "inner circle" parent to convert their #1 problem/complaint into an ironclad rule?" **The convert their #1 problem/complaint into an ironclad rule?" **The convert their #1 problem/complaint into an ironclad rule?"	0 1 No Yes
PROCESS: How well did the group leader help the "inner circle" parent convert their #1 problem into an ironclad rule (i.e. use of a sample contracts, use of the outer circle, throwing out suggestions, sticking and moving)?	Extremely Poor Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5
9. Did the group leader emphasize the need to go back to "Old School" around the topic of needing a village to raise a child?	0 1 No Yes
10. Did the group leader poll the group for who would be willing to help each other and be a part of their village?	0 1 No Yes
11. Did the group leader assist the parents in identifying potential villagers by considering their "Playing Field and Potential List of Helpers?	0 1 No Yes
Did the group leader conduct role plays to demonstrate <u>how not to ask</u> for help and <u>how to ask</u> for help?	0 1 No Yes
PROCESS: How well did the group leader integrate usage of self into the role plays (high energy, humor, etc.)	Extremely Poor Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5
Did the group leader give the homework reading assignment from the PYOCT paperback book?	0 1 No Yes
14. Did the group leader elicit feedback from the parents regarding "what was most helpful" in the group session?	0 1 No Yes
15. Did the group leader show each of the video clips, use power point slides, the flip chart, and parent workbook as directed in the Group Manual for Hour Two?	0 1 No Yes
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate choreographic integration with props, group materials and technology?	Extremely Poor Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5
Group #3: Hour Two	"Teen Breakout"
16. Did the group leader poll the teens to see if they have ever had input into their rules, rewards or punishments?	0 1 No Yes
17. Did the group leader help the teens to identify the top 3 problems their parents want them to stop doing and the top 3 problems they want their parents to stop doing?	0 1 No Yes

Parenting with Love and Limits®

18. Did the group leader help the teens to identify their top 3 rewards they would like if they stopped doing the #1 problem their parents want them to stop?		0 No	1 Yes	
19. Did the group leader role play with the teen(s) to teach the Art of Negotiation?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader integrate usage of self into the role plays (high energy, humor, etc.)	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
20. Did the group leader use the Teen Workbooks?		0 No	1 Yes	

Rating:

Content = 20 total domains = 20 points (Content weighted as 70% of overall rating)
Process = 7 domains = 35 points (Process weighted as 30% of overall rating)

PLL Supervisor's Review of Entire Video:

Key Fidelity Issues – Strengths: Areas for Improvement:

IPR Clip Review: (Self, Peer, Supervisor Review)

Clip Link – www.vimeo.com/

Password –

Scene (Clip)	Strengths	Areas for Improvement

IPR Video Supervision PLL Group#4 – CONTENT IPR MEASURE

Co-Facilitator's Name								
Date of IPR Review:								
Number of Video IPR Measure Checks for this PLL Group Session	n:							
KEY COM	PONENTS							
Legend for Process Domains: Extremely Poor: Gross neglect/deficit in domain domain Deficit in domain	Average: Well: Extremely Well: Domain met with basic competency above average competency competency competency							
Group #4: Hour One 8	Two "Parent Breakout"							
1. Did the group leader ask which parents did their reading assignment, get feedback from them, and give out stickers or provide some type of reward/incentive?	0 1 No Yes							
2. Did the group leader show the 4 minute video clip (The Ideal Contract), pausing it periodically to emphasize the elements of writing an ironclad contract?	0 1 No Yes							
3. Did the group leader have the rule and contract outline pre-draw on the flip chart?	0 1 No Yes							
4. Did the group leader review with the group why the rule drafted with the inner circle parent in the previous group is ironclad?	0 1 No Yes							
PROCESS: How well did the group leader stick and move to avoid brainstorming while reviewing what makes the rule ironclad?	Extremely Poor Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5							
5. Did the group leader help the inner circle parent identify the Top 10 Areas their teenager cares about and write them on the flip chart?	0 1 No Yes							
6. Did the group leader reveal the Top 3 Rewards the inner circle parent's teenager identified in the previous Teen Breakout (Group 3) and write on the flip chart (or if applicable, did the group leader assist the parent in hypothesizing what their teenager would choose for rewards)?	0 1 No Yes							
7. Did the group leader emphasize the importance of the "privileges versus rights" concept?	0 1 No Yes							
8. Did the group leader help the inner circle parent identify daily an bonus rewards for the rule?	0 1 No Yes							
PROCESS: How well did the group leader help the inner circle parel identify the rewards (i.e. use their Top 3 areas, stick and move to avoid brainstorming, use sample contracts, etc.)	Extremely Poor Poor Average Well Extremely Well 1 2 3 4 5							

9. Did the group leader help the inner circle parent identify consequences for the rule?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader help the inner circle parent identify the consequences (i.e. explain the hierarchy of offenses, use their Top 3 areas, stick and move to avoid brainstorming, use sample contracts, emphasize consequences start over each week)	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
Did the group leader emphasize to the entire group that their teenagers will not go down without a fight and that this is normal?		0 No	1 Yes	
Did the group leader help the inner circle parent identify only one possible classic move their teenager might make to attempt to derail the contract and write it and the solution on the flip chart?		0 No	1 Yes	
12. Did the group leader help the inner circle parent storyboard the Rule (i.e. identify which classic moves the teen might make and what countermoves the parent will make)?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader storyboard the rule (i.e. stick and move by making suggestions, writing the parent's specific countermoves on the flip chart, utilizing the outer circle, etc.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
13. Did the group leader role play with the inner circle parent each classic move and countermove identified and written on the flip chart around the Rule?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader role play the countermoves for the rule with the inner circle parent (i.e. follow the 5 rules of thumb: success first, therapist plays the teen, rehearse each countermove, freeze quickly, switch places if needed; and rate parent's "battle readiness")	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
Did the group leader remind the inner circle parent not to share any part of the contract with their teenager yet?		0 No	1 Yes	
15. Did the group leader give the homework reading assignment from the PYOCT paperback book?		0 No	1 Yes	
16. Did the group leader elicit feedback from the parents regarding "what was most helpful" in the group session?		0 No	1 Yes	
17. Did the group leader use the power point slides, the flip chart, and parent workbooks as directed in the Group Manual?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate choreographic integration with props, group materials and technology?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
Group #4: Hour One & 1	wo "Teen Breakou	ut"		
18. Did the group leader assist each teenager in completing a Positive Parent Report for their parent(s) in the other room?		0 No	1 Yes	

PROCESS: How well did the group leader assist each teenager in writing their PPR (i.e. sell it as a "secret weapon" to soften up their parents, use of magnifying glass to illustrate how to find "small things", etc.)	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
19. Did the group leader prepare each teen for delivery of their PPR by reviewing the three things to watch out for?		0 No	1 Yes	
20. Did the group leader get a commitment from each teenager to give one Positive Parent Report a day before the next group session		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader inspire each teen to give one PPR a day before the next group session (use of scaling, giving creative ideas for giving the PPR, etc)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
21. Did the group leader get responses from the teenagers to the question – "What do your parents have to start doing differently to make you want to act better?		0 No	1 Yes	
22. Did the group leader help the teens identify a consequence that would work with them?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader help the teens identify effective consequences (integrate use of self, nonchalant demeanor, etc.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
23. Did the group leader use the Teen Workbooks?		0 No	1 Yes	
Group #4: Hour Two "Delivery	of Positive Paren	t Report"		
24. Did the group leader direct each teenager and parent dyad to the inner circle, one at a time, to deliver their Positive Parent Report?		0 No	1 Yes	

Rating:

Content = 24 domains = 24 points (Content weighted as 70% of overall rating) Process = 9 domains = 45 points (Process weighted as 30% of overall rating)

PLL Supervisor's Review of Entire Video:

Key Fidelity Issues – Strengths:

Areas for Improvement:

IPR Clip Review: (Self, Peer, Supervisor Review)

Clip Link - www.vimeo.com/

Scene (Clip)	Strengths	Areas for Improvement

IPR Video Supervision PLL Group#5 – CONTENT IPR MEASURE

The	Therapist's Name:							
Co	Co-Facilitator's Name							
Dat	Date of IPR Review:							
Nu	mber of Video IPR	Measure Checks for t	his PLL Group Session	:				
			KEY COM	PONENTS				
Le	egend for Process Domains:	Extremely Poor: Gross neglect/deficit in domain	Poor: Deficit in domain	Average: Domain met with basic competency	Doma abov	Well: ain met with ve average mpetency		Extremely Well: Domain met with exceptional competency
			Group #5: Hou	ır One & Two	<u></u>			
1.	Did the group lead their Positive Pare	der poll the parents for hent Reports?	ow they liked receiving		0 No	1 Yes		
2.	Did the group lead	der issue the PPR Teen	Challenge?		0 No	1 Yes		
3. Did the group leader ask which parents did their reading assignment and get feedback from them, and give out stickers or provide some type of reward/incentive?			0 No	1 Yes				
Did the group leader give the overview of the 7 Aces Consequences and review the "Surgeon General Warnings" to using any of the 7 Aces Consequences?			0 No	1 Yes				
5. Did the group leader warm the parents up to the idea of the Positive Teen Report with Dale Carnegie's quote in his Classic Book, "How to Win Friends and Influence People"?			0 No	1 Yes				
Did the group leader review the "Things to Watch Out For" when delivering a Positive Teen Report to their teenager?			0 No	1 Yes				
7. Did the group leader review how often and various creative ways the parent should deliver a Positive Teen Report to their teenager?			0 No	1 Yes				
8. Did the group leader assist each parent to create their first Positive Teen Report in the group session and give 7 additional PTR's for the next week?			0 No	1 Yes				
		did the group leader ins day during the next weel	spire each parent to give k?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
9.	Did the group lead give the PTR to th	der role play with an inne eir teenager?	er circle parent how to		0 No	1 Yes		

PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate an extreme teen response during the role play so the parents are battle ready for the worst case scenario?	Extremely Poor	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
10. To prepare for the storyboarding and rehearsals of the rewards and consequences, did the group leader pass out "typed" copies of the inner circle parent's contract that was completed in the previous group session?		0 No	1 Yes	
11. Did the group leader have pre-written on the flip chart the outline of the Countermoves sheet?		0 No	1 Yes	
12. Did the group leader help the inner circle parent storyboard the Rewards (i.e. identify which classic moves the teen might make and what countermoves the parent will make)?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader storyboard the rewards (i.e. stick and move by making suggestions, identify the time, reminder and praise words for giving the rewards, writing the parent's specific countermoves on the flip chart, utilize the outer circle, etc.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
13. Did the group leader role play with the inner circle parent each classic move and countermove identified and written on the flip chart around the Rewards?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESSS: How well did the group leader role play the countermoves for the rewards with the inner circle parent (i.e. follow the 5 rules of thumb: success first, therapist plays the teen, rehearse each countermove, freeze quickly, switch places if needed; and rate the parent's "battle readiness")	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
14. Did the group leader help the inner circle parent storyboard the Consequences (i.e. identify which classic moves the teen might make and what countermoves the parent will make)?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader storyboard the consequences (i.e. stick and move by making suggestions, identifying specific steps to take to avoid "Piling On", writing the parent's specific countermoves on the flip chart, utilizing the outer circle, etc.)?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
15. Did the group leader role play with the inner circle parent each classic move and countermove identified and written on the flip chart around the Consequences?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader role play the countermoves for the consequences with the inner circle parent (i.e. high energy when demonstrating "Piling On" and how "Not to Pile On", for the remaining countermoves, follow the 5 rules of thumb: success first, therapist plays the teen, rehearse each countermove, freeze quickly, switch places if needed; and rate the parent's "battle readiness")	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
16. Did the group leader give the homework reading assignment from the PYOCT paperback book?		0 No	1 Yes	
17. Did the group leader elicit feedback from the parents regarding "what was most helpful" in the group session?		0 No	1 Yes	

Parenting with Love and Limits®

18. Did the group leader show each of the video clips, use power point slides, the flip chart, and parent workbook as directed in the Group Manual?		0 No	1 Yes	6	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate choreographic integration with props, group materials and technology?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average 3	Well 4	Extremely Well 5
Group #5: Hour Two "Deliver	y of Positive Teer	Report"			
19. Did the group leader direct each parent and teenager dyad to the inner circle, one at a time, to deliver their Positive Teen Report?		0 No	1 Ye	s	

Rating:

Content = 19 domains = 19 points (Content weighted as 70% of overall rating)
Process = 7 domains = 35 points (Process weighted as 30% of overall rating)

PLL Supervisor's Review of Entire Video:

Key Fidelity Issues – Strengths:

Areas for Improvement:

IPR Clip Review: (Self, Peer, Supervisor Review)

Clip Link - www.vimeo.com/

Scene (Clip)	Strengths	Areas for Improvement

IPR Video Supervision PLL Group#6 – CONTENT IPR MEASURE

Therapist's Name:							
Co-Facilitator's Name							
Date of IPR Review:							
Number of Video IPR N	Measure Checks for the	his PLL Group Session	:				
		KEY COM	PONENTS				
Legend for Process Domains:	Extremely Poor: Gross neglect/deficit in domain	Poor: Deficit in domain	Average: Domain met with basic competency	abo	Well: ain met with we average mpetency	Extremely Well: Domain met with exceptional competency	
		Group #6: Hou	ır One & Two	-			
assignment, get fee	er ask which parents die edback from them, and of reward/incentive?	_		0 No	1 Yes		
Santini" and ask the	er show the clip from the key questions in the (intensity around Nurtu	Group Manual to		0 No	1 Yes		
PROCESS: How well deasking the key questions. Contemplation around N	s in order to move the	-	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average V 3	Vell Extremely Well 4 5	
3. Did the group leade	er teach the Big Chill Ti	meline?		0 No	1 Yes		
4. Did the group leade	er share Bruce's Story t	from the PYOCT book?		0 No	1 Yes		
PROCESS: How well d telling Bruce's Story?	lid the group leader inte	egrate usage of self in	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average V 3	Vell Extremely Well 4 5	
Did the group leader help each family identify their stage on the Big Chill Timeline?			0 No	1 Yes			
Did the group leade introducing nurturar	er review the 3 principle nce?	es of timing for		0 No	1 Yes		
7. Did the group leade "Healing Phrases" to	er help each parent/tee to each other?	n dyad to recite the		0 No	1 Yes		
PROCESS: How well d during the "Healing Phra etc.)?	.		Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average V 3	Vell Extremely Well 4 5	

8. Did the group leader challenge the parents to say aloud their "Healing Phrases" daily for the next 30 days?		0 No	1 Yes	
9. Did the group leader provide a plant for each family?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader help the families connect the health of the plant with the health of their relationships with one another by asking key questions as directed in the Group Manual?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
Did the group leader poll the families on their agreement with the statement "Rules without a nurturing relationship with the parent leads to rebellion in your child"?		0 No	1 Yes	
Did the group leader review the "5 Deadly Toxins with the video clip?		0 No	1 Yes	
12. Did the group leader ask the families to identify which toxins exist in their family?		0 No	1 Yes	
Did the group leader challenge the families to take the "Nurturing Your Plant Back to Emotional Health Challenge"?		0 No	1 Yes	
14. Did the group leader review the 7 Strategies to Reclaim Lost Love?		0 No	1 Yes	
15. Did the group leader review that "Relapse is Normal"?		0 No	1 Yes	
16. Did the group leader give compliments to the group?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate warmth and genuineness in the compliments section?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5
17. Did the group leader use the video clips, power point slides, the flip chart, and parent/teen workbooks as directed in the Group Manual?		0 No	1 Yes	
PROCESS: How well did the group leader demonstrate choreographic integration with props, group materials and technology?	Extremely Poor 1	Poor 2	Average Well 3 4	Extremely Well 5

Rating:
Content = 17 domains = 17 points (Content weighted as 70% of overall rating)
Process = 6 domains = 30 points (Process weighted as 30% of overall rating)

PLL Supervisor's Review of Entire Video:

Key Fidelity Issues –

Strengths:

Areas for Improvement:

IPR Clip Review: (Self, Peer, Supervisor Review)
Clip Link – www.vimeo.com/
Password –

Scene (Clip)	Strengths	Areas for Improvement